

NTIN071 A&G: TUTORIAL 2 – PUMPING LEMMA, MYHILL–NERODE THEOREM,
EQUIVALENT AND MINIMAL REPRESENTATIONS

Teaching goals: The student is able to

- state and prove the Pumping lemma for regular languages
- apply the Pumping lemma to prove nonregularity of a given language
- state and prove the Myhill-Nerode theorem
- apply the Myhill-Nerode theorem to prove regularity, to construct a DFA
- apply the Myhill-Nerode theorem to prove nonregularity
- define reachability & equivalence of states, reduced DFA, automata homomorphism
- apply the reachable states and state equivalence algorithms to reduce a DFA

IN-CLASS PROBLEMS

- Problem 1** (Pumping Lemma: statement). (a) Formulate the Pumping Lemma for regular languages (without consulting your notes).
(b) How is the number n from its statement related to a recognizing automaton?
(c) Prove it (without consulting your notes).
(d) Demonstrate pumping on $L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } abba \text{ as a subword}\}$.

- Problem 2** (Pumping Lemma: application). Use the Pumping Lemma to prove that the following languages are not regular. (The alphabet is $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.)
(a) $L = \{a^i b^j \mid i \geq j\}$
(b) $L = \{a^{i^2} \mid i \geq 0\}$
(c) $L = \{a^i b^{i+j} a^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$
(d) $L = \{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$, where w^R is w reversed

- Problem 3** (Myhill–Nerode theorem: statement). (a) Formulate the Myhill–Nerode theorem and recall the idea of its proof (without consulting your notes).
(b) Show that if we forget any of the conditions on the equivalence \sim , the resulting statement is not true.

- Problem 4** (Myhill–Nerode theorem: application). Prove or disprove using the Myhill–Nerode theorem that the following languages are regular.
(a) $L = \{aa, ab, ba\}$
(b) $L = \{a^i b^j \mid i \geq j\}$
(c) $L = \{a^{i^2} \mid i \geq 0\}$
(d) $L = \{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$, where w^R is w reversed
(e) $L = \{a^i b^{i+j} a^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$

Problem 5 (Equivalent and minimal representations). For the automata below:

- Find and remove all unreachable states.
- Determine the state equivalence (indistinguishability) relations. (Moreover, for any distinguishable pair of states find all minimal-length distinguishing words.)
- Construct their reducts.

A	a	b
$\rightarrow * 0$	1	2
1	3	0
2	4	5
3	0	2
4	2	5
5	0	3

B	a	b
$\rightarrow * 0$	0	5
1	1	3
2	2	7
3	3	2
* 4	6	1
5	5	1
* 6	4	2
7	7	0

C	a	b
$\rightarrow 0$	1	2
1	1	3
* 2	2	4
3	1	6
* 4	5	2
* 5	5	5
6	6	3
7	1	2
8	8	3

D	a	b
* 0	7	6
1	1	0
2	4	3
3	3	1
4	2	3
5	5	4
6	6	5
$\rightarrow * 7$	0	6

EXTRA PRACTICE AND THINKING

Problem 6. Use the Pumping Lemma to prove that the following languages are not regular. (The alphabet is $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.)

- $L = \{a^i b^j \mid i \leq j\}$
- $L = \{a^{2^i} \mid i \geq 0\}$
- $L = \{ww \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$

Problem 7. Prove or disprove using the Myhill–Nerode theorem that the following languages are regular.

- $L = \{a^i b^j \mid i \leq j\}$
- $L_k = \{a^i b^j \mid i \leq j \leq k\}$ for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$
- $L = \{a^{2^i} \mid i \geq 0\}$
- $L = \{ww \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$

Problem 8 (Pumping Lemma: generalization). (a) Can we change the condition $|uv| \leq n$ with $|vw| \leq n$, that is, *iterate near the end*? Prove or disprove.

- Can we iterate near a chosen position in the word? How to formulate (and prove) such a generalization?

Problem 9 (Equivalences on words). Give an example of an equivalence relation on Σ^* which:

- is a right and a left congruence
- is a right but not a left congruence
- is of finite index